

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/751,456            | 12/29/2000  | Gopal N. Iyer        | 00349                   | 7191             |
| 7590 01/28/2005       |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Michael D. Lazzara    |             |                      | SMITH, SHEILA B         |                  |
| Kirkpatrick & L       | ockhart LLP |                      |                         |                  |
| 535 Smithfield Street |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Pittsburgh, PA 15222  |             |                      | 2681                    |                  |
|                       |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. IYER, GOPAL N. 09/751,456 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2681 Sheila B. Smith All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Sheila B. Smith. (2) Mike Lukon. Date of Interview: 05 January 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: . Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called regarding unsigned IDS's, the examiner considered them prior to the allowance of the case, however at the time the examiner inadvertently failed to send a copy of the signed IDS's, the examiner is now making the signed IDS's part of the record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required